(3)

SINGLE BENCH (1)

C.S. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1960/2005

PETITIONER

Dr. Smt. Jaya Agrawal,
W/o. Dr. K.K. Agrawal, R/o. R-1, Vinobanagar, Behind Gayatri Mandir, Bilaspur, Working as Assistant Professor, Commerce Government Girls P.G. College Link Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1918/05
Presented by Shri Ashok Sen
dated 28/11/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary, Higher Education Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner Higher Education Directorate, Government Science College Premises, Raipur, (C.G.)

3. President Public Service Commission, Office at Raipur (C.G.)

4. Principal Government Girls P.G. College, Bilaspur. (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/ CERTIORARI, ETC. OR DIRECTION / ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

(67)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1960/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27.06.2005 Mr. Kishore Bhaduri, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Arun Sao, learned Dy. Government Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh / respondents 1, 2 & 4. None appears for respondent No.3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main grievance of the petitioner is that his seniority should be considered from the date of his appointment and after considering the same, the gradation list Annexure P-9 may be corrected. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to decide the representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court. This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel. Consequently, the prayer is allowed. The petitioner is directed to file a detailed representation along with the copy of this writ petition and the certified copy of this order before the concerned authority within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall decide the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of filing of the representation by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law. With the aforesaid observations the petition stands finally disposed of.	

(68)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 1960/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
[redacted]30 Sarma	There shall be no orders as to costs. Consequently, I.A.No.3709 of 2005 and M.(W).P.No.1551 of 2005 stand disposed. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	